

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,253	04/26/2000	HENNING MAAB	PHD98.097	6706
24737 75	90 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WOO, RICHARD SUKYOON	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCEIT MANOR, IVI 10310			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. MAAB ET AL	··						
Examiner Richard Woo Richard W		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Richard Woo 3629 362	Advisory Action	09/530,253	MAAB ET AL.				
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may opply be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal feet), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be substitively period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection of the reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection of the reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be substitively period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. See MFEP 1700.776. THE BOYNEH THE BITS REPLY WAS TILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 1700.776. THE BOYNEH THE BITS REPLY WAS TILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 1700.176. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PRIVAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.176. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.176. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.076. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.076. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.076. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MFEP 1700.076. THE STATE THE FINAL REJECTION SEE MFEP 1700.076. THE STATE THE FINAL REJECTION SEE MFEP 1700.076. THE FINAL REJECTION SEE MFEP 1700.076. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINAL REJECTION SEE MFEP 1700.076. THE PRIVAL REJECTION SEE MFEP 1700.076. THE	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (beck either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. ORLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS TIELD WITHIN TOW MONTHS OT THE FINAL RECIPCTION. See MPEP Extension of the final rejection of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate		Richard Woo	3629				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \(\text{The period for reply expires 2_mothers from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \) b) \(The period for reply expires 2_mothers 2_mothers 2_mothers 1.114 minutes 1.114 mi	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with estatutory period for reply expire the thin SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE PIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70507(7). Expirations of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension for under when the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under when the final rejection (1) and the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under when the final rejection (1) and the period set forth in (3) above, it cheesed. Any reply extension the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under when the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any extension place the major and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under when the period set forth in (3) above, it cheesed. Any reply example to the CFR 1.191(a), to avoid dismissal of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any extension date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any extension and period place of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or the proposed and place the application in condition Shoot. 3 Hoppicant's reply has overcome the following rejection (s): 4 Newly proposed or amended cla	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX.WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet because been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (f) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they are not deemed to place the application in a separate, timely file	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY-OFLECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY-WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.191(b) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory prioridor trey to pringfully set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (d) they raise the issue of new anatter (see Note below); (d) they raise the issue of new anatter (see Note below); (e) they raise the issue of new anatter (see Note below); (d) they raise the issue of new anatter (see Note below); (e) they raise the issue of new anatter (see Note below); (e)			. Single strate of the bound	orio lotor In			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 The affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6 The affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT pla	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.□ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.□ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.□ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)□ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Replaced to the claim(s) is about the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: JOHN G. WEISS Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: JOHN G. WEISS Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
3.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.							
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: JOHN G. WEISS Claim(s) rejected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			sidered but does N	OT place the			
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Technology center 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which w	ere newly			
Claim(s) allowed: JOHN G. WEISS Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	d and an			
Claim(s) allowed: JOHN G. WEISS Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:		P			
Claim(s) objected to: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:			-			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				100			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1	1 LOTING	DEGGI OFIGIEU 2000	,			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1						
1 10 1 1 Otner	10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/530,253

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In response to the applicant's argument that Ladner discloses another circumstance where position data is transmitted from the locator device and does not disclose a data carrier that transmits its position information onlu upon two occurrence upon initialization and movement from an area, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. Ladner discloses the data carrier that independently transmits its position information only upon two occurrences upon initialization and movement from an area unless a third party interrogates the position. Inherently, both applicant's and Lardner's interrogation by a third party will initiate data communications (transmitting and receiving) between the data carrier and the information unit so as to locate the data carrier. Accordingly, Larder's data carrier is equally transmitting its position information only upon two occurrences independently (when there is no interrogation by a third party).